

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/063,829	05/16/2002	Vincent B. Ho	GEMS8081.119	6258
27061	7590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER	
ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC (GEMS) 14135 NORTH CEDARBURG ROAD			ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON	
MEQUON, WI 53097		٠	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	** *

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			111			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/063,829	HO ET AL.	U ,			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel I. Robinson	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	<u>ly 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 30,32 and 33 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,7,9-17,19-28 and 31 is/are rejecte 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5,6,8, 28, 29 and 31 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	d. o. election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of th	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Secon is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/063,829

Art Unit: 3742

Response to Amendment

As per the applicant's request this will be a second non-final rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-10, 12, 14-16, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24 and 26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Wang et al.(U.S.Pat.6,535,821).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 11, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang in view of Dumoulin et al.(U.S.Pat.6,584,337). Wang discloses a system and method of bolus-chasing angiography with adaptive real-time computed tomography that shows many of the features of the claimed invention but does not explicitly show continuously scanned while the table is in motion of a FIESTA pulse sequence.

Dumoulin discloses a method and system for extended imaging using MRI that shows

Art Unit: 3742

continuously changing the position and the frequency or the phase of the receivers or transmitters and also shows a FIESTA pulse sequence. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to vary the frequency or phase as taught by Dumoulin so as to match the resulting frequency or phase change as a result of motion. The FIESTA sequence can be used since only the phase or frequency is modified.

Claims 13, 17, 20, 22, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang in view of Lang et al.(U.S.Pat.5,671,741). Wang does not explicitly show tissue characterization or fat suppression. Lang discloses a magnetic resonance imaging technique for tissue characterization that shows fat suppression. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use fat suppression to offset the effects of chemical shift and tissue characterization to determine if tissue is viable or necrotic.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 6, 8, 29 and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 30, 32 and 33 are allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Foo, Machida, Townsend, Nose, Ho, Meany, and Darrow are cited to show structure similar to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel I. Robinson whose telephone number is 703 306-9043. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30am-2:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dlr

DANIEL ROBINSON ONTENT EXAMINER